

*Christine O Gregoire, Governor, State of Washington  
and  
Mark A Emmert, President, University of Washington  
despite warnings have permitted  
Computing and Communications, University of Washington  
to conduct an unlawful cyberwar on this  
International Electronic Magazine published since 1992  
following publication of an article (18 Mar 08) that exposed their malfeasance.  
Emmert and Gregoire received two warning notices (29 Jan 08) and (5 Feb 08)  
about repeated denial-of-service attacks by state officials which they have both ignored.*

*Machiavellian principles have applied at University of Washington also within  
Washington Executive and Judicial branches for more than two decades.*

This series of articles especially relates to malfeasance by Christine O Gregoire, Governor, State of Washington (WA) and Mark A Emmert, President, University of Washington (UW). It exposes officials in the executive and judicial branches of government, especially judges who have committed gross judicial misconduct by ex parte contact and opined on issues not in evidence for political expedience. It discusses public officials who hold no post-graduate academic degrees or other qualifications that a reasonable person would expect for employment in high government office. Particularly, it names officials who have included falsified academic credentials in election campaign material, submitted falsified applications for taxpayer-funded employment, and obtained academic promotion through Easy Rider degrees and political machination - now a criminal offense in State of Washington. A person who falsely claims to possess a credential issued by an institution of higher education to gain a position in government commits a gross misdemeanor. In State of Washington, a gross misdemeanor carries with it maximum punishment of one year imprisonment and/or \$5,000 fine. That does not take into account punishment for malfeasance. [RCW 9A.60.070] [RCW 9A.20.021]

The term "malfeasance" means misconduct or wrongdoing, especially by a public official. It refers, with associated expressions "misfeasance" and "nonfeasance", to neglect in discharging public obligations under common law or statutes. "Impunity" means exemption from penalty and freedom from punishment for malfeasance and other crimes. [Impunity]

Academic harassment defines as behavior by university administrators, the executive branch of government, and the judiciary which: unreasonably interferes with faculty member, public intellectual, or student academic performance and freedom of expression both on or off campus; distributes verbal, written or electronic communication with the intent to annoy; interferes with the operation of computer systems; causes unlawful arrest; creates an intimidating, hostile or offensive environment; directs ad hominem remarks with intent to demean, belittle, or cause personal humiliation or embarrassment; threatens, intimidates, or coerces for unlawful purposes.

Abstracts and Roll of Dishonor menus link to articles about named individuals. Whores of Academe and Elder Abuse menus (also textual links) connect to articles by topic. [*Roll of Dishonor Case Studies*]

Democracy has become extremely susceptible to irresponsible government officials who have access to substantial amounts of money and use it to control media and terms of debate. Deliberative democracy requires, and substantial empirical evidence shows, that the electorate frequently changes its mind about policy makers after an opportunity properly to reflect on the issues.<sup>1</sup> *Contra Cabal* gives them an opportunity to reflect upon the anarchy extant in Washington state and particularly malfeasance at University of Washington.

Readers wonder why highly paid government officials, responsible to taxpayers, repeatedly try to destroy *Contra Cabal* and harass the author. It probably relates to the thoroughly researched verified and validated exposé published in *Contra Cabal*. Gregoire and Emmert realize that they cannot counter the allegations using normal discourse so they try to destroy the medium and with it truth that they try to disguise with propaganda.

Mark A Emmert, President, University of Washington and Christine O Gregoire, Governor, State of Washington have known about unlawful denial-of-service attacks by their computing and communications staff for four and twelve years respectively then granted the hackers impunity through *laissez faire* policies. They have now permitted state employees to start a Cyberwar. Instead of responding to issues, Emmert has tried to kill the messenger. He has allowed his technical staff to censor email by randomly deleting text from personal email messages and crashing computers to try to prevent publication of content protected under First Amendment to US Constitution. [*Anarchy*]

University of Washington employees, under the direction of Ronald A Johnson, Vice President and Sandy S Moy, Associate Vice President, UW Technology, with impunity granted by Mark A Emmert, President in collaboration with Carol S Niccolls, Special Counsel to the President, have vandalized *Contra Cabal* web sites in repeated attempts to destroy academic and journalism databases and computer systems that support them. During March and April 2008, they transmitted denial-of-service bots which have caused actual and collateral damage in excess of \$150,000.00 to databases, systems, web pages, and subscriber networks. [*Denial of Service Attacks (DoS Bots)*]

Gregoire's unethical, politically expedient tentacles intertwine with those of UW administrators to the detriment of faculty members and students. Many unethical members of the executive, legislative, and judicial branches associated with UW graduated from Gonzaga University, School of Law and University of Washington Law School and rigorously follow the precepts outlined in the *Lawyer's Little Book of Dirty Tricks* (distributed by those universities to all graduating students) by delaying justice until they can eventually deny it. Gregoire leads the way in that machination and Emmert paves it by paying the tab with taxpayer funds. They fail to realize that writing does not

end with vandalism; instead, violent reaction to words creates more words which, with modern technology, survive for posterity.

Very few journalists in Seattle publish facts or truth after indoctrination with political correctness; neither did they report without bias the unlawful jailing of the author to try to silence him or the supreme court reversal of lower court decisions. Outrageously, a UW administrator who holds a board directorship in the taxpayer-funded entity that unlawfully railroaded the author to jail did not request recusal or declare a conflict of interest. [*Political Correctness - most read Contra Cabal article*] [*Metamorphosis*]

### *Anarchy*

With a draconian and biased trial court decision, a UW judicial clone sent the author to jail for an indeterminate period. The case attracted worldwide interest among journalists when they learned of the decision (reversed by Washington Supreme Court (30 Mar 06)). The author served 111 days (25 days in solitary confinement in the same section as Gary Ridgway, the Green River serial rapist and killer) for publishing the constitutionally protected writing that readers can now freely access on these web pages.

It took four years procrastination by a Seattle black-robed syndicate that tried to evade a hearing by delaying and denying justice. John Milton (1608-1674) probably turned over in his grave muttering this statement by the legislature: "Washington State Legislature describes ethics as the foundation of government that derives its power from the natural character of the people".<sup>2</sup> However, the judicial and executive branches cannot define the term "ethics" which describes ideal individual and organizational behavior while law defines the minimum practical standards permitted by an ethical society. [*Black-Robed Syndicate*]

Ethics do not equate with morals but more with professional standards and law. Moreover, one expects higher ethical standards from judges than the lowest common denominator that legal dialectic provides. Unethical behavior by state officials classifies as malfeasance despite the disparity between ethical constraints and legal rules.

Coercive power directs itself inwardly and essentially distinguishes state government from the voluntary subordination found in every community. When the judicial and executive branches subordinate the rights of individuals then government breaks with its constituency and needs reconstruction. When judges and the governor take control of the vital and cultural activities of the people then they forfeit the dignity of their office and their specific authority to decide issues.<sup>3</sup>

*Areopagitica* won Milton public recognition and notoriety as a spokesman against similar anarchy. Later, a similar treatise cost him a fine and a term in prison. He found out, to his detriment, that freedom of expression does not universally mean freedom to criticize and to oppose when facing corrupt government officials - a situation now prevalent in US ironically defined as "land of the

free". *Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose.* [The more things change, the more they remain the same.]<sup>4</sup>

### *Ongoing Malfeasance*

*Contra Cabal* editor sent a prepublication notice to Mark A Emmert, President, University of Washington, and twenty UW administrators, to announce a new series entitled:

#### The Ultimate Machiavelli

The notice gave them an opportunity to respond to alleged malfeasance prior to general release of the article to the public. The email message (5 Feb 08) contained this admonition which Emmert and his administrators ignored:

This notice is sent as a courtesy to people mentioned. The articles remain "live" in that they are subject to continual update when new information surfaces. As works in progress, they will link to personal case studies as time or relevance permits.

An unlawful pattern or practice already exists among named University of Washington administrators and faculty members who have attempted to flood this web site, destroy databases, damage computer servers, or otherwise interfere with publication of *Contra Cabal*.

Any attempt to continue that practice, or indulge in prior restraint by other means, will immediately become subject to reports to Federal Bureau of Investigation. International and federal laws preclude electronic interference with dissemination of information. *Contra Cabal* uses secure servers and detection software to expose malfeasance - Mark Emmert, take notice and be warned! [Full Text PDF - PT-08-0205-1725]

Instead, they allowed Johnson and Moy with their technical staff to launch a series of denial-of-service attacks that caused \$150,000.00 damage to computers and databases during March and April 2008. [Denial-of-Service Attacks]

### *Retaliatory Outrage*

Since Emmert became UW president almost four years ago (1 Jun 04), he has received many reports of violations of federal law. Instead of heeding requests and warnings he repeatedly allowed UW computer technicians to sabotage computer systems and web sites. He has emulated the pattern or practice initiated by his predecessor Richard L McCormick in collusion with Johnson and Niccolls. Gregoire (as attorney general, governor and Niccolls's mentor) has for twelve years condoned multiple malfeasance by ignoring complaints submitted using mandated legal procedures and condoned the destruction of public and university records to cover up malfeasance.

Emmert inherited both Johnson and Niccolls from McCormick and promoted Niccolls to Special Counsel to the President. Despite their malfeasance, Emmert has increased Johnson's salary from \$252,000.00 to \$321,684.00, an increase of \$69,684.00 (27.65%/4), and increased Niccolls's salary from \$138,000.00 to \$190,560.00, an increase of \$52,560.00 (38.08%/4). Moy's salary went from \$205,644.00 to \$247,740.00, an increase of \$42,096.00 (20.47%/4).

Johnson and Moy have orchestrated a pattern of denial-of-service attacks by allowing their technicians to: crack computer systems; flood web sites; destroy academic databases; censor incoming and outgoing email; sabotage operating systems; deny access to paid accounts; coerce commercial internet providers to restrict service; and used taxpayer funds to cause damage to private computer systems in excess of an estimated \$250,000.00/12. They have also destroyed academic research databases of inestimable value, investigative journalism files, and university records on UW computers. Computing & Communications (UW/C&C) has run out of control for at least a decade. [*Computer Crackers - University of Washington*] [*Denial-of-Service Attacks*]

The vandalism started when Niccolls colluded with Johnson in the destruction of academic research databases, denied access to paid accounts, and participated in multiple other frauds to prevent completion of a doctorate. A former assistant attorney general (AG) under Governor Christine O Gregoire (former Attorney General), Niccolls will eventually have to answer a Washington State Bar Association, Rules for Professional Conduct (WSBA) complaint in company with other AG and UW lawyers. They intercepted or diverted US mail and email addressed to UW regents and other top echelon administrators allegedly to keep them in ignorance of crimes committed by lower echelon employees and to deny due process of law under university mandates. [*RPC Rule 8.4 Misconduct*]

Faculty members who receive comparatively meager remuneration, also students and parents who pay exorbitant tuition or fees, should remember these excesses when they consider reelection of a governor who has condoned criminal behavior by successive UW presidents. [*Roll of Dishonor Case Studies*]

#### *Nothing Succeeds Like Excess*

In a separate article, the author defines prior restraint and identifies current events sanctioned by Big Mamas and implemented by their mischievous, intellectually challenged leprechauns. Prior restraint and censorship have recently occurred at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI), University of Washington (UW), Seattle Jewish Mafia (SJM) and other universities and government funded institutions.

The term "Big Mama" describes totalitarian university presidents and government officials who censor speech protected by the First Amendment to the US Constitution. The term previously applied to Internet censors in the People's Republic of China. The analogy seems apt in light of the politically correct behavior now common in large and prestigious US universities as a parody on Big Brother, the omnipresent leader of a totalitarian state in George Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-four*.

Some content excerpted from *Technocratic Despotism: Incognito and Safe from the Vexation of Thinking* by Paul Trummel. Licensed to The National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE), *Assembly for Computers in English (ACE) Newsletter*, 10(1): (Summer 1996) 10-15.

NCTE has since removed the original article from their web site; consequently, the author has linked a PDF of the full text. [*Nothing Succeeds Like Excess*] [*ACE Newsletter*]

### *Prior Restraint*

The term "prior restraint" describes regulation of content before publication - an unprincipled action which denies rights guaranteed to everyone by the First Amendment to the US Constitution. It particularly applies to the denial of a journalist's constitutional right to gather and publish information or opinions without institutional control or fear of reprisal.

That freedom applies to both the electronic and print media and denies censorship or prior restraint to either institutions or individuals. Moreover, government (and especially universities) has an obligation to protect the free exchange of ideas and afford equal rights and privileges to all despite heterodox opinion. Both prior restraint and censorship place exclusive conditions on freedom of expression.

Any type of censorship restricts language to narrowly defined criteria then politically correct ideologies force everyone to learn the same way, to teach the same way, to research the same way, to discourse the same way, and to write the same way. Subsequently, conformity destroys any vestige of individuality. The exclusivity forces everyone to become a clone of someone else. The practice enables those in power to deny freedom of expression to dissenters and to destroy media.<sup>5</sup>

Both Richard L McCormick and Mark A Emmert, successive UW presidents, have allowed Johnson to operate completely out of control. Successive deans in UW College of Engineering and College of Education have followed suit. Apparently, the content of this international electronic magazine, published since 1992, ranks more ominously to UW administrators than the Pentagon Papers did for Richard Nixon's administrators. They fear exposure of their malfeasance and dereliction.

They have excavated the restrictions on freedom of the press imposed by the Press Licensing Order, London 1643 and arbitrarily, and illegally, incorporated similar restrictions into University of Washington computing and communications regulations. Surprisingly, Johnson had the prior knowledge and apparent approval of the former attorney general (now governor). His criminal misuse of power to censor disclosure of wrongdoing in a consort with other officials ranks as one of the most blatant denials of press and academic freedom since Richard Nixon.

The Supreme Court did not find the subversive history of the Vietnam war, published in the Pentagon Papers, too sensitive for public disclosure. Despite that finding, UW officials have suppressed information about criminal activity and destruction of academic research at UW for more than twelve years by using electronic censorship. In this case, prior restraint applies particularly to the denial of a journalist's constitutional right to gather and publish information or opinions without institutional control or fear of reprisal and to academic freedom traditionally granted to a professor.

With the Pentagon Papers, the Nixon administration applied for a court order to allow prior restraint that US Supreme Court denied. Similarly, Washington Supreme Court reversed lower court decisions that allowed a similar prior restraint that government officials (including a UW

administrator) used to jail and torture the author in solitary confinement (without benefit of counsel) for writing the words you now read in *Contra Cabal* - a blatant denial of constitutional and human rights that Gregoire and Emmert have still not addressed despite the Washington Supreme Court ruling (30 Mar 06). [*Archives*] [*Metamorphosis*] [*Supreme Court Decision*]

Unlike Nixon, who eventually complied with laws, Johnson makes his own laws regarding what journalists may publish then orders removal of Internet accounts, expropriates journalism databases without due process of law, and launches denial-of-service attacks. Johnson's actions have consistently received the tacit approval of Gregoire (as both governor and attorney general) in a consort with Emmert.

A landmark Supreme Court ruling (02 Jul 97) struck down the flawed Communications Decency Act which UW and other universities had implemented. The court then defined the legal and regulatory structure for Internet communication. It based its findings upon the principles of freedom from gatekeepers (computer and communication technologists and university administrators) and press censors. This decision intended that the Internet remain a medium of free expression and education that deserved the highest degree of First Amendment protection.

Still, Johnson continues to censor university critics by using electronic prior restraint. He acts in violation of the US Supreme Court notation in 1976 which enjoined: "Prior restraints on speech and publication are the most serious and the least tolerable infringement on First Amendment rights ". He particularly ignores the additional comment by the Justices that: ". . . damage can be particularly great when the prior restraint falls upon the communication of news and commentary on current events".

The US supreme court justices also determined that every Internet user becomes a potential publisher with First Amendment protection at least equal to that of the print media. They stated that: "As a matter of constitutional tradition, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we presume that governmental regulation of the content of speech is more likely to interfere with the free exchange of ideas than to encourage it. The interest in encouraging freedom of expression in a democratic society outweighs any theoretical but unproven benefit of censorship".

Evidently, Emmert and Gregoire have tried to silence *Contra Cabal* before the upcoming elections despite federal laws. Censorship and bizarre behavior at the University of Washington continues under Emmert with Gregoire acquiescing to it. Deans behave unconstitutionally with the full knowledge of Emmert, Gregoire, and the attorney general. Emmert, aware of denial-of-service attacks, prior restraint, and denial of due process among UW deans, administrators, and assistant attorneys general condones these practices while Gregoire continues to deny due process of law. Fortunately, he does nothing else in particular and does it exceptionally well.

The Gilbert and Sullivan notion that admission to Washington executive office requires competitive examination and candidates should only consist of people with intelligence sounds like a positive

change when considering the mediocre performance of the present governor. However, the legislature must sort out the UW situation before administrators “relocate permanently to fairyland with their new fairy brides”.

Emmert’s governance of University of Washington and the preponderance of fairies with special interests reminds one how fairies controlled the plot and political satire in *Iolanthe*. UW regents "did nothing in particular and did it very well" when fairies took over the deanships and created turmoil instead of academic excellence.<sup>6</sup>

### *Cyberspace Fairies*

Emmert became president by fairy magic and has manipulated both major legislative parties to get everything he wanted to the detriment of faculty members, students and the taxpaying public. Cyberspace fairies have typically had a wide range of latitude in interpreting policies because they have for many years created and maintained a technocratic mystique with magical powers in a primarily Luddite constituency.

Johnson gives an appearance of technologic correctness (a component of political correctness) which can only construe as evasion of his responsibility to allow freedom of expression. UW administrators exercise prior restraint in a variety of ways without any legal right to do so. For example, Thornton Wilson formerly worked as an Assistant Attorney General with the AG University of Washington Division. Contrary to law, he helped the provost and vice provosts cover up criminal activities that included prior restraint despite the relevant law which clearly states that: “The attorney-general [of the State of Washington] shall be the legal adviser of the state officers, and shall perform such other duties as may be prescribed by law (Section 21)”, The emphasis surely rests on the expression “duties prescribed by law” not “duties proscribed by law”.  
[*Political Correctness*]

Wilson withheld documents (needed for a hearing by a University Student Records Committee) to cover up prior restraint by Johnson. Wilson not only supported Johnson in his denial of access to documents but also denied access to them for campus judiciary hearings. This covered up the activities of his “clients” [after a complaint, Wilson later reversed himself regarding document access]. Wilson subscribed to the adage that those unable to practice law, mediate; those unable to mediate, teach; those unable to teach, preach; and those unable to preach become assistant attorneys general who constantly reverse themselves. Coincidentally, the Ohio Supreme Court, in a 5-2 ruling, found that state institutions must allow journalists access to records that relate to disciplinary proceedings (9 Jul 97).

Gregoire held the responsibility to act as the state’s attorney in litigation, to advise the governor and heads of the executive departments on legal problems, and to act as the government’s legal officer in other matters. Her duties as either governor or attorney general did not include defending corrupt public officials, or the cover-up of malfeasance by state employees.

### *Microsoft Gold*

Former UW President Richard McCormick maintained an appeasing, *laissez faire* agenda despite laws and with ethics blinded by the glitter of Microsoft gold. During his tenure, Microsoft virtually controlled the communications programs at UW. Microsoft gave more than \$1.3 million in “unrestricted” gifts to the university during the 1990s.

Joan C. Martin, formerly Chair, Faculty Senate, University of Washington speaking at a meeting of the American Association of University Professors said: “Microsoft completely finances two departments at UW”. She also said: “. . . in return Microsoft retains an interest in the selection and appointment of faculty members”.

Evidently, Microsoft contributes to the Department of Computer Science and Engineering to such an extent that it controls academic policy. The despotic department chair, Edward D Lazowska, (\$230,340.00), replete with additional MS consulting fees, finds himself unable to utter a sentence without mentioning the “MS-word”. He even uses the title “Bill and Melinda Gates Chair” which supports the vernacular description “MS Clone”.

If Lazowska’s extracurricular activities do not define as conflict of interest, then reasonable people must ask what does when they consider his taxpayer-funded UW salary and additional emoluments from sources outside the university. They must be additionally confounded when they learn that he allows his department faculty members to commit denial-of-service crimes then denies the victim due process under university regulations. Oren Etzioni and other faculty members have unlawfully tried to censor publications containing details of campus malfeasance through denial-of service attacks then denied due process of law.

Named by the White House to head the President's Information Technology Advisory Committee which guides federal policy related to advanced information technologies, Lazowska co-chaired the national committee with California businessman Marc Benioff. Inquiring minds would like to know whether he forwent his UW salary while he gallivanted in Washington DC for two years.

### *Whoremonger and Whores*

While ethical and moral standards disintegrated, McCormick sycophantically purred: “We are fortunate to have this farsighted and innovative company [Microsoft] located here”. Then he excitedly exclaimed: “This is a win-win program, and I know that the faculty and students appreciate Microsoft’s generosity as much as I do”.<sup>7</sup> One may ask how much of that unrestricted \$1.3 million the drunken whoremaster personally appreciated.

Moreover, one should ask whether McCormick shared the windfall with the woman on his staff that he fucked and his wife Suzanne D Lebsack who deposed a star history professor to obtain a full professorship in the UW History Department (\$114,240.00). In addition, she shared the taxpayer-financed fringe benefits that her husband received. Inquiry into her background revealed

that she raped a string of foundations using self-plagiarism of her PhD dissertation written three decades ago and received millions of dollars from her fraud. [*Oh, What a Tangled Web She Weaves*] [*Sweet Cheat*]

Lee L Huntsman (formerly Provost) with the help of Niccolls arranged (under circumstances from which they both benefitted financially) an encounter that resulted in the dubious appointment of McCormick and Lebsack, both holding fraudulent academic credentials, as president and “first lady” - an oxymoron. Those appointments ended after the extramarital affair while Huntsman and Niccolls made several million dollars on both the appointments and terminations. Documented evidence shows a blatant *quid pro quo*.

Niccolls unlawfully accepted a university appointment after her involvement with Huntsman in negotiating McCormick's employment contract on the fly at an East Coast airport lunch. State law precludes assistant attorney generals from accepting employment offered by individuals or institutions for whom they negotiated contracts yet Niccolls accepted immediate appointment as McCormick's executive secretary, a deal that resulted in an immediate hike in salary from \$43,200.00 to \$93,699.00 and later through Emmert's "generosity" to \$190,560.00.

The scam involved Gregoire who sent Niccolls a cute personal note congratulating her on the unlawful appointment instead of reprimanding her. They both disregarded state law that precludes attorney general staff from accepting positions to work on issues that they handled while in the employ of the attorney general's office.

#### *False Academic Credentials*

Emmert inherited Johnson from McCormick and increased his salary from \$252,000.00 to \$321,684.00, an increase of \$69,684.00 (27.65%/4), Johnson then published his title as: “principal technology/IT officer as well as a tenured faculty member in the Information School and the Department of Computer Science and Engineering” which immediately aroused suspicion given a litany of sociopathic behavior, pathological lying, and semi-literacy rewarded by an obscene salary: pointers historically known to cover up a lack of mandatory credentials.

UW employed Johnson as “clinical professor” (a misnomer that now generally applies to non-tenured faculty but a decade ago applied to visiting medical faculty members). Universities frequently approved clinical appointments for visiting professors who held a primary appointment with an outside agency or non-academic unit. If the appointment related to another university, then clinical faculty needed senior professorial rank at the primary institution to qualify for the clinical appointment.

Johnson received double the salary paid to a full professor with tenure as a clinical (adjunct) professor although he possessed none of the medical qualifications for the position as generally defined at that time. He then gradually leveraged salary increases by adding false academic

credentials to his *curriculum vitae*. He now receives about four times the original salary although he holds virtually no academic qualifications to justify his appointment as an associate professor with tenure or in any other capacity unless writing technical ballyhoo qualifies him. Several investigations into his academic experience revealed academic fraud and a close examination of UW payroll records showed evidence of *quid pro quo* with fast-track promotions using easy-rider criteria. [*Easy Riders*]

In Washington state, a person who falsely claims to possess a credential issued by an institution of higher education to gain a government-funded position commits a gross misdemeanor which carries with it maximum punishment of one year imprisonment and/or \$5,000 fine. That punishment does not take into account associated malfeasance and includes penalties for which Johnson allegedly qualifies after receiving due process of law which he has denied to others.

A reasonable person must ask how Johnson could become a tenured associate professor at a \$321,684.00 salary without possessing either a baccalaureate or terminal degree. To justify his claims, Johnson recently and retroactively published his educational accomplishments as: MS University of Southern California (1975); MA University of Chicago, Philosophy (1972); PhD Candidate/ABD, University of Chicago in Philosophy of Science and Logic. He claimed: "Vice President Ron Johnson is the University of Washington's principal technology/IT officer as well as a tenured faculty member in the Information School and the Department of Computer Science & Engineering".

Johnson also claimed that: "[He] started his technology leadership career at UCLA . . ."; however, Brenda Moore, a University of California, Los Angeles registrar neither verified nor replied to three requests under 20 USC § 1232g, Family Educational and Privacy Rights Act (FERPA). She would not provide directory information about degrees earned by Johnson and/or employment history although Johnson claimed academic experience at that university. Further inquiry to Robert C. Dynes, President, UCLA resulted in a response by Carol Stogsdill, Senior Executive Director, Office of Media Relations, UCLA. Stogsdill stated that: "Ronald Allan Johnson attended UCLA as a graduate student from September 1977 to March 1982. His major was Management. He received no degrees from UCLA".

Johnson claims to have: ". . . worked nationally to help create the original Internet, and more recently Internet 2. No evidence exists that he acted as a prime mover in either of those enterprises. The author worked on the original Internet and reviewed Mosaic (Germany 1985) research as a graphic arts expert. He also invented the first commercial desktop publishing system (US 1973) but he does not claim to have created the Internet!

Johnson additionally claims: "[He] is a tenured faculty member at the University of Washington with appointments in the Information School and Department of Computer Science and Engineering" yet apparently does not hold a degree in information technology. Three requests for

Phyllis M Wise, Provost and Executive Vice President, University of Washington (\$336,384.00); Matthew O'Donnell, Dean, College of Engineering (\$280,008.00); Harry Bruce, Dean, The Information School (\$161,280.00); and Henry M Levy, Chair, Computer Science and Engineering (\$209,064.00); to clarify anomalies caused by Johnson's claim to hold credentials for appointment as associate professor with tenure in two UW schools, while registrars at other universities refute those qualifications, met with quadruple silence.

University of Chicago registrar confirmed that Johnson holds a masters degree (MA 1972 - Philosophy) but could not explain how he obtained it without first earning a baccalaureate degree. The registrar stated that Johnson registered in a PhD program for two quarters then dropped out - an experience that certainly does not qualify him to claim PhD ABD status. Normally, PhD ABD (All But Dissertation) requires completion of all formal degree requirements, verified and endorsed by the department granting the degree, except for approval of the doctoral dissertation committee and a public defense.

Degree verification and validation at several universities failed to reveal information that Johnson ever earned a baccalaureate degree. According to University of Chicago records he attended University of California, San Diego (UCSD) for a short period but the UCSD registrar cannot or will not validate that claim. University of Southern California (USC) neither confirmed nor denied that Johnson holds a masters degree from that institution.

University deans or department heads who knowingly participate in the granting or sustaining of false credentials become accessories before or after the fact when they give assistance to someone alleged to have committed a felony by submitting false academic credentials or if they neglect to act when they know about other crimes. They also commit a crime if they knowingly grant or award a false academic credential or misrepresent that a credit earned or granted by another person applies to promotion or appointment to a faculty position. At law, they rank as accomplices in the commission of crimes even if they take no part in the actual offenses.

Neglect to take action, having knowledge furnished to them by third parties, could make UW officials: Wise, O'Donnell, Bruce, and Levy, accessories to past crimes allegedly committed by Johnson or other administrators and faculty members. Despite three requests they have taken no action to stop ongoing criminal activity although they hold that responsibility by virtue of their various administrative and academic appointments also as state actors. They have also ignored requests for public information and validation of academic credentials which compounds their involvement in illegal activity.

University of Washington (UW) has specific procedures governed by Revised Codes of Washington and Washington Administrative Codes (WAC). Although the university has a degree of autonomy or self-government, it remains accountable in law and federal regulations govern all aspects of constitutional and human rights. All administrators and faculty members remain accountable to the State of Washington and by extension US Government. As administrators receiving state

salaries and managers of financial aid and other federally sponsored programs they classify as state actors.

If administrators in taxpayer-funded institutions take no action on issues that come within their purview when they discover that a felony exists, then they become liable with the person who committed the felony. In Washington state, issuing a false academic credential or concealing the fact that one exists classifies as a class C felony punishable by confinement in a state correctional institution for five years, or by a fine of ten thousand dollars, or by both. [RCW 9A.60.070] [RCW 9A.20.021] [*False Academic Credentials - Christine Gregoire/Mary Kay Becker*]

#### *Wisecracker*

Phyllis M Wise, Provost and Executive Vice President, University of Washington (\$336,384.00) has neither addressed the denial-of-service attacks nor the kangaroo courts that UW has conducted without regard for the rights of individuals. She has allowed decisions that result from foregone conclusions made in secret and based upon political expedience to stand. Moreover, she has not investigated current complaints; instead, she plays the part of a highly paid flak.

Prior to publication of this article, Wise (who holds ultimate responsibility for investigation and referral for prosecution of alleged campus crimes) received a five-day notice which informed her of the latest denial-of-service attack (3 May 08). Those attacks now continue on a daily basis. The notice also informed her about false academic credentials used by Ronald A Johnson, the person allegedly responsible for the attacks; however, Wise chose not to respond.

In Washington state, an executive vice president who knowingly participates in granting, sustaining, or silently withholding false credentials arguably classifies in law as an accessory before or after the fact which makes Wise liable to prosecution for committing criminal acts. If she continues that posture, then she will probably leave herself wide open to Federal prosecution under the RICO statutes for evading her responsibility to investigate both current crimes and previous illegal kangaroo courts which denied due process of law. [*Recalcitrant Registrar*]

UW Graduate School convened a kangaroo court to deny due process of law. Judith A Ramey with Jan H Spyridakis, then Associate Professors, Department of Technical Communication, College of Engineering and Mark P Haselkorn, then Chair and Professor willfully destroyed another professor's career by character assassination. Their reward included promotion to full professor for Ramey and Spyridakis with Ramey as department chair. They both received salary increases way above the campus average. [*Kangaroo Court*]

An independent investigation would expose what they did; however, Wise continues to cover up the unlawful denial of due process by her predecessor Lee L Huntsman also malfeasance by former Vice President Steven G Olswang. Wise apparently believes that she can ignore events that took place before her arrival on campus; however, when she accepted her present appointment she also

accepted responsibility for outstanding issues. Kangaroo courts, or using the UW pseudonym "risk management protocols", amount to nothing more than denial of due process of law by using a system of self-regulation that supports political expedience. [*The Ultimate Machiavelli*]

Deans, chancellors and vice provosts report to Wise who joined UW (1 Aug 05). She recently took part in a television series which she claimed culminated a campus-wide effort to define core values as part of a new vision statement. She said that she explored the attributes that make the University of Washington "uniquely Washington" and focused on discovery as the heart of everything done at the university.

Hypocritically, Wise does not mention the discovery of myriad crimes committed by the people that she cites and the unlawful cover-up of malfeasance which she holds the responsibility to report to prosecutors. Arguably, UW has (at least within Colleges of Engineering and Education) the most unprofessional, unaccomplished, unethical, and corrupt administrators and faculty members in the US. Unfortunately, the innocent minority get tarred with the same brush as the guilty.

[*Sherking Responsibility*] [TC - Kangaroo Court] [TC - Hearing] [Impunity]

Clearly with tongue-in-cheek wisdom, Wise claims that the UW standard of excellence requires that: "We recruit the best, most diverse, and innovative faculty and staff from around the world, encouraging a vibrant intellectual community for our students. We link academic excellence to cutting edge research through scholarly exploration and intellectual rigor. We hold ourselves to the highest standards of ethics, as a beacon for our community and the world". [*UW Propaganda*]

She must wise up and discover the core values of the criminal activity reported at the heart of the new vision statements in Contra Cabal. Taxpayers do not pay her \$0.34 million to listen to her vagaries while she covers up white-collar crime that includes Internet vandalism, misuse of public funds, and abuse of political and legal power. As a professor of obstetrics and gynecology, Wise must use her skills to cut through the underbelly of campus corruption with caesarian dispatch and deliver some answers. She must explain how she intends to end the academic abuse and criminal conduct then punish the guilty. The current practice of "disappearing" the culprits with large payoffs then granting them impunity does not suffice as the Emmert and Wise vaudeville duo must quickly learn.

*Laissez faire* policies do not classify as a defense and withholding public records or neglecting to verify or validate information about crimes compounds existing felonies. Primarily an economic doctrine, *laissez faire* opposes government regulation or interference so that university administrators can operate according to their own rules in violation of law. It effectively grants them impunity. The term "*laissez faire*" literally means "let things alone" and has become a convenient way for university administrators to act with indifference without criticism.

An eighteenth-century doctrine, *laissez faire* favors capitalist self-interest as a means to obtain optimal prosperity and freedom. In fact, it benefits the wealthy to the detriment of others and

classifies as a dangerous economic gamble that relies upon secrecy which should have no place in the academe. George Bernard Shaw described *laissez faire* as the most tyrannous and disastrous of all orthodoxies.

The practice primarily frustrates accumulation of knowledge and allows university and government officials to withhold public records to hamper a free flow of information. Not only does the public have a right to know it also has a need to know; however, Wise and her administrators do everything within their power to restrict access to public information despite laws to the contrary. They evade their responsibilities and deny public accountability.

*Editor's Note: The essay Academic Cabalism, published in 1996, applies just as much to University of Washington administrators and UW College of Education as it does to Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute - only the names differ. [Academic Cabalism]*

#### *Ravening Academic Sociopaths*

The late William D Winn, a distinguished UW professor, described Johnson as “the most evil man I have ever met” after studying Johnson’s pathological lying, abhorrent behavior, and machination. Faculty members and students avoid Johnson like they would avoid a plague. They fear the damage that he can do to their careers and several classified him as a sociopath. Johnson’s lack of credentials give some understanding of his psychotic desire to destroy the careers of people who have more experience and qualifications than he can possibly achieve himself. Semi-literate, he has manipulated himself into a top university job through guile and false academic credentials. *[Ravening Sociopath]*

Sociopaths, inherently confrontational, feel no fear of repercussion or ostracism. They lie pathologically and ideally fit a mafia role. This has allowed successive amoral UW presidents to isolate or distance themselves from the crimes committed in their names. Sociopaths often create a useful, unethical, impenetrable barrier. They will commit crimes without any moral or ethical consideration to assuage their financial greed and self-aggrandizement.

Machiavellian princes (university presidents) and their minions (deans) often employ sociopaths when compulsive lying and breaking laws suit their purpose. Sociopaths perform dirty work without question and provide a social barrier between administrators, faculty members, and students. Using a sociopath as a confrontational go-between follows a (political science/risk management) maxim evident in the behavior of Johnson and Steven G Olswang. Johnson the out-of-control technologist and Olswang the ultimate Machiavelli with his equally remunerated alter ego (or maybe doppelganger) Carol S Niccolls fit that role and allow Emmert to insulate himself from reality. *[The Ultimate Machiavelli] [Nothing Succeeds like Excess]*

The term “sociopath” (formerly psychopath) describes the DSM-IV<sup>8</sup> clinical term “antisocial personality disorder” which defines aggressive, impulsive, antisocial behavior. Approximately 3%

of men and 1% of women suffer from this disorder. Johnson obviously classifies as a sociopath based upon his performance at UW for more than a decade and a forty-year academic career most of which he invented.

Sociopaths often encounter legal difficulties due to their disregard for societal standards and the rights of others which often includes criminal activity. They do not appear to experience a full range of human emotions which explains the lack of empathy for the suffering of others. Commonly, they remain indifferent to the possibility of physical pain or punishment, and show no indication that they experience fear when threatened; this may explain their apparent disregard for the consequences of their actions, and their lack of empathy when others suffer from their abuse.

The rage or tantrums that sociopaths frequently exhibit may represent the limit of emotion that they can experience. They watch and mimic other people's emotions then act them out to mask their psychotic tendencies. They often choreograph themselves like stage actors who study other people then mimic them. Johnson has spent almost forty years in the academe which fits him to mimic academic behavior to fool his employers and people with whom he comes into contact.

Princes may neither claim ignorance of wrongdoing by their minions nor remain unaccountable and anonymous. American law does not distinguish between princes or minions when they commit unlawful acts. It holds individuals liable for their own acts or dereliction and they remain jointly and severally liable for any willful or negligent damage. Denial-of-service attacks provide an example of current malfeasance and censorship that damages third parties.

#### *Denial-of-Service Attacks (DoS Bots)*

Denial-of-service attacks affect all journalists as seen from last year's debacle in Estonia which set a dangerous precedent. Similar behavior now takes place globally, particularly in Russia, China and the United States. A cyberwar weapon, these attacks involve assault on electronic communication networks in retaliation for publishing unpopular opinion. [*The New York Times - Estonia*]

A recent article (06 May 08) by Martin Sieff, defense industry editor for United Press International (UPI), highlights the unwillingness of US media to report denial-of-service attacks. One of America's largest broadcasting networks was knocked out for two days (26 Apr 08) by a Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) cyber-attack (the same day that University of Washington launched another DoS attack on Contra Cabal); however, the largest US newspapers and TV news channels did not report it.

Jeffrey Gedmin, President, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty (RFE/RL) said: "The character of the attack shows that the Belarusian authorities continue to block information on the Internet". He compared it to the Soviet Union and its satellite nations trying to jam US backed broadcasts during the Cold War yet US media kept the information under wraps. Similarly, UW has buried information about the twelve-year history of DoS attacks by UW Computing & Communications

(UW/C&C). It seems that UW continues to lead the way but not in the sense propagandized by UW super flak Phyllis M Wise. [*The Silence of the Media Lambs*]

An increasing number of high-level officials in government and public institutions condone these illegal attacks upon freedom of expression. They give implied permission or impunity which allows the lowest level of Machiavellian behavior and disingenuousness conveniently propagandized as "political science" and "risk management". Properly called political expedience and coercion strategies, impunity allows cyber-censors to violate journalism and human rights protected by international laws. [*Impunity*]

Instead of responding to words with more words, they try to destroy the medium to counter exposure of their malfeasance. Unable to use rational discourse, they use power and money to suppress publication by vandalizing computer systems and destroying intellectual property. They ignore the premise that no one may take the law into their own hands and the admonition by another journalist almost two centuries ago:

Where justice is denied, where poverty is enforced, where ignorance prevails, and where any one class is made to feel that society is in an organized conspiracy to oppress, rob, and degrade them, neither persons nor property will be safe.<sup>9</sup>

Gregoire and Emmert received specific warnings that they must stop denial-of-service attacks and address the issues (29 Jan 08). Instead, they allowed a massive increase in coercion and harassment to reinforce their previous attempts to prevent publication of content that exposes criminal activity. They have, in collaboration with Niccolls, tried to coerce the author to cease publication or to cause him bankruptcy to a similar end by using illegal SLAPP tactics. The cost of the damage rises daily as UW technicians continue (at this writing) to use "bots" to prevent publication of subscriber newsletters and media releases by sabotaging systems operation.

*Contra Cabal* contains pure speech and qualifies as a public forum fully protected by the First Amendment to the US Constitution. Complaints about content have no legal merit in light of constitutional protections yet UW and Seattle Jewish Mafia "flooded" the sites on the Internet, thereby preventing legitimate traffic to flow. Those attacks disabled a web site and by extension an organization. Generally, denial-of-service attacks attempt to prevent legitimate users from accessing specific web sites.

Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) systems cannot provide a variety of services while under attack and for a time after the attack ceases. Sometimes attacks impair the ability of the Internet Service Provider (ISP) to provide service. In other cases, the network may exhaust memory and crash or otherwise remain inoperative.

Microsoft Windows XP-Professional Edition (an example) contains a system recovery program to assist users when their computers have systems failures or crashes. System restore enables a user to undo harmful changes to computer software by restoring it to an earlier time when the software

functioned optimally. Restore points contain benchmarks of the software, driver, and operating system files set at regular intervals or whenever the user decides to make changes to personal settings or adds new software or hardware. Manually setting additional restore points provides additional protection for system files and settings. Similar principles apply to many other applications that include recovery programs.

Hackers and crackers can use system restore programs to make it impossible to recover from sabotage. They disable system restore before they effect the sabotage which shows that they not only want to destroy the system but also want to insure that the loss stays irreversible. The public tends to define the terms "hacking" and "cracking" synonymously; however, hacking means breaking into a computer system to steal or otherwise manipulate content; whereas, cracking means breaking into a system primarily to vandalize it.

For public employees to deliberately crack computer systems to vandalize them shows malice. To destroy the means of restoration before committing the destruction shows malice aforethought. To cause the network that serves the computers to fail triples the malfeasance. When done more than once, it defines as a pattern or practice of criminal acts covered by US RICO statutes.

#### *Criminal Activity*

Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (defined by the Civil Rights Act and RICO statute), a US federal law, provides for extended penalties for criminal acts performed as part of an ongoing criminal organization. It defines a RICO pattern similar to that in place at University of Washington and within an organization loosely defined as Seattle Jewish Mafia, both effectively granted impunity by Washington state governor Christine O Gregoire.

A RICO pattern of racketeering means two or more organized criminal acts which indicate ensuant activity. Those acts include conspiracy to commit crimes of coercion by wrongful use of force or fear. Repeated denial-of-service attacks fit into that category. RICO provides only that it "requires at least two acts of racketeering activity" which indicate ensuant activity within a ten year period. The present circumstances which relate to *Contra Cabal* web sites and the livelihood of its publisher and journalist more than qualify for legal action under those statutes.

Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation in Government (SLAPP) also silence journalists. Several US state legislatures (including New York and Washington states) have prohibited this unlawful practice by passing legislation to counter an increase in frivolous lawsuits designed to silence people who openly express their views about government agencies and other politically sensitive topics. [*Barratry*]

Simply put, denial-of service attacks repress journalists and journalism through cyberspace coercion and SLAPP attacks enforce silence by causing collateral economic damage. Both tactics involve censorship of content. In combination, these unlawful practices affect all journalists no matter what their cyber or economic level. Journalists who occasionally file copy to meet deadlines

by email can suffer just as much as large web site users who regularly disseminate news on the Internet. EU journalists should remember that negative happenings in the US have historically occurred in the UK ten years afterwards then spread to EU.

No grounds exist for defamation action against *Contra Cabal* because the author and his editor verify and validate all content and thoroughly document it before publication. The author sends mitigation notices to targets and people mentioned. Everything published comes under the protection of US First Amendment to the US Constitution; however, university and state lawyers repeatedly resort to sabotage in the form of either unlawful SLAPP actions or denial-of service attacks. In their insecurity, they delay and deny justice by trying to bankrupt perceived opponents.

#### *Premeditated Vandalism*

"Hackers either write bot programs themselves or reuse or modify existing code" wrote David Dittrich, an acknowledged expert on denial-of-service attacks until recently employed by Johnson as a software engineer working for UW/C&C at a taxpayer-funded salary of \$103,536.00. Dittrich published the following comments concurrent with UW/C&C implementation of identical denial-of-service attacks upon *Contra Cabal*. Outrageously, he used taxpayer funds to publish "how to" essays (complete with coding algorithms) which will enable future cyber terrorists to launch attacks on unsuspecting computer users.

Some attackers have even installed bots on multiple machines to create a distributed system that can be used for complex attacks . . . such systems can launch distributed dictionary attacks to steal victims' passwords. . . . It seems like a logical progression that people have added programmable [attack] mechanisms to the bots to add functionality . . . advances in technologies such as wireless communications will increase the number of devices, systems, and network types that bots can take over and use as bases for attacks.

Computing and Communications (UW/C&C) has used, and continues to use, all those tactics to try to destroy *Contra Cabal*; consequently, it has destroyed equipment, operating systems, and databases.

Denial-of-Service (DoS) attackers, the most under-reported vandals in the industry, attempt to prevent legitimate users from accessing information or services. By targeting a computer and its network connection, the cracker can prevent users from accessing email, web sites, online banking accounts or other services that rely on the affected computer. By repeatedly sending large email messages to an account, an attacker can exceed byte quotas and prevent delivery of legitimate messages. Bots take advantage of system software bugs which enable buffer overflows and various memory-management problems that allow malicious code to infect a system. They operate automatically as an agent for a cracker or an automatic program.

Crackers also "flood" web sites and email accounts with massive amounts of information in order to crash them. When a user accesses a particular web site by entering an URL in a browser, a request transmits to the Internet Service Provider (ISP) server to view a page. The server can only

process a certain number of requests at once. If an attacker overloads the server with requests, then it crashes the system.

Crackers send bots to victims by a variety of means to infect vulnerable computers. Some bots wait for commands from the cracker who can manipulate them and the infected systems remotely. Most computer users have familiarity with viruses, worms, Trojan horses, and network intrusions on a regular basis and know how to protect themselves; however, virtually no protection exists against bot software. Denial-of-service attackers can use either their own computers or machines that they have infected which then act as proxy servers making it difficult for security investigators to find the culprits.

Perpetrators of DoS attacks typically target sites or services hosted on high-profile web servers such as banks, credit card payment gateways, and even DNS root servers. One common method of attack involves saturating the target (victim) machine with external communications requests so that it cannot respond to legitimate traffic or responds slowly which renders it effectively unavailable.

Denial-of-service attacks violate Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB) Internet use policy. They also violate US and EU laws also laws of individual nations. Unfortunately, no effective ways to prevent victimization by a DoS attack exist. One can only install anti-virus software, firewall applications and other protective devices to reduce the risk that an attacker will use a single computer to attack other computers. [*Statement of Facts*]

### *Conclusion*

In an election year, voters can only reflect and make decisions if they have uncensored information to discuss with fellow citizens and policy experts. After informed deliberation, they routinely alter their preferences in durable and unpredictable ways.

As governor of a state that claims democracy, Gregoire must: allow the electorate to decide the issues based upon information obtained from the world wide web. She must give them an opportunity to read all information relative to both sides of any particular issue; carry out her duty and responsibility to protect freedom of expression and the flow of information; and stop condoning and granting impunity to government-funded censors and technologists who destroy the careers of controversial journalists and academicians or the media that publishes their writing.

Gregoire must immediately issue orders publicly to University of Washington to cease and desist the use of unlawful means and taxpayer resources to fund denial-of-service attacks to harass and censor journalists to support political expedience. Otherwise, she has an international cyberwar to contend with prior to her reelection attempt. She has covered up serious crime at University of Washington for at least twelve years. Now she must come clean and take action to insure that due process of law takes place.

Former Governor Gary Locke made the following recommendation upon hearing about the UW malfeasance; however, Gregoire (both as attorney general and governor) with Richard L McCormick and Mark A Emmert (successive UW Presidents) has ignored Governor Locke's suggestions for mediation and mitigation:

The Superior Court could call a grand jury if so requested by a public attorney on a showing of good cause. Also, the Organized Crime Advisory Board may petition the Supreme Court for an order appointing a special inquiry judge. If a special inquiry judge is appointed, the organized crime advisory board shall, with the consent of the Governor, name a special prosecutor.

Gregoire's anarchy results from a failure of the legislature to control lawlessness and disorder. It manifests in an absence of political authority and cohesive principles that predicate upon a common standard or purpose. In Washington state, that condition stems from condonation of crime for political expedience. Gregoire has developed self-serving tentacles that reach into a multicultural society containing criminal special interest groups with Seattle Jewish Mafia (SJM) and University of Washington (UW) predominant among them.

Any inquiry must premise upon whether Gregoire, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any state or territory or the District of Columbia, subjected, or caused to be subjected, a citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws.

If Gregoire does not comply with law, then her malfeasance makes her liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress. Washington state electorate presumably consists of reasonable people who must decide whether Gregoire can answer four questions affirmatively given the extensive, documented malfeasance described in *Contra Cabal*.

Governors of most US states hold qualified immunity dependent upon the scope of discretion and responsibilities of the office. This allows the executive branch to attract high-quality candidates for election to government service. Reasonable grounds, coupled with good-faith, afford a discretionary basis for immunity from lawsuits for official acts performed by the governor.

However, US Supreme Court has determined that executive immunity bears the burden of justification based upon the nature of the act performed, not the identity of the actor who performed it. Political expedience coupled with bias defines as malfeasance and provides probable cause for lawsuits against governors who do not enforce constitutional guarantees.

In deciding probable cause for impeachment or when making a decision for whom to vote, a reasonable person must ask four questions that relate to any challenged act, omission, or decision:

1. Did the challenge involve a basic governmental policy, program, or objective?
2. Was the act or omission essential to the execution of that policy, program, or objective?

3. Did it involve agency policy evaluation, judgment, and expertise?

4. Did the agency possess constitutional, statutory, or lawful authority?

If clear and unequivocal answers in the affirmative result, then the challenged act, omission, or decision can, with reasonable confidence, classify as a discretionary governmental process regardless of its lack of wisdom. If one or more of the questions call for, or suggest a negative answer, then an official inquiry must take place into the facts and circumstances.

University of Washington (UW) administrators continue their autocratic form of government. That institution has the open support of the governor and attorney general in its criminal activities. Gregoire continues to allow Emmert to build bridges where no river runs<sup>10</sup> trying to obtain even more power and wealth for themselves and their chosen sycophants while their victims have their legal rights abrogated. Gregoire continues to deny them due process of law through delay then denial of justice s she has done for more than a decade.

*Nemesis.*

*All salaries shown per annum after annualizing.*

1. Ethan J. Leib, Can Direct Democracy Be Made Deliberative? *Buffalo Law Review*.
2. RCW 42.52.900. Legislative Declaration.
3. Dietrich Bonhoeffer, *Ethics* (New York, NY: Simon & Schuster), 1995, 329.
4. Alphonse Karr, *Les Gyépes* (6th series, 1859) 305.
5. John Kenneth Galbraith, *A View from the Stands* (Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Co.), 1986, 127-28.
6. Gilbert & Sullivan, *Iolanthe*.
7. McCormick, Richard L., Columns, *The University of Washington Alumni Magazine*, 17(1); (March 1997), 10
8. American Psychiatric Association, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fourth Edition) (American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC), 1994.
9. Frederick Douglass (1817-1895). American journalist and abolitionist who escaped from slavery (1838). Speech on the 24th anniversary of emancipation in the District of Columbia (April 1896).
10. Nikita Khrushchev, *Press Conference*. Glen Cove, NY (Oct 60).

© Copyright 2008 by Paul Trummel

All Rights Reserved: 17 Apr 08/17:36

Edition: #608-09-00/08-0517-1732

Feedback: [Webspinner@ContraCabal.org](mailto:Webspinner@ContraCabal.org)